

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/628,013	07/25/2003	Daniel Dietzel	3401-138	3993
7590 02/23/2006			EXAMINER	
Thomas C. Pontani, Esq.			ARAJ, MICHAEL J	
	Lieberman & Pavane			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Suite 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
551 Fifth Avenue			3733	
New York, NY 10176			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/628,013	DIETZEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael J. Araj	3733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	11/23/2006					
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		tters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	•	• •				
·	, , , ,	·				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-25</u> is/are pending in the applic						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-15,19 and 25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>16-18 and 20-24</u> is/are objected t						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu		-				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						

Attachment(s)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/

4) 📖	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) [Notice of Informal Patent Application

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

atent Application (PTO-152)

6)	L	Other:	_
----	---	--------	---

Application/Control Number: 10/628,013

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claims 1-25 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12-15, 19 and 25 are rejected, as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burkinshaw et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,007,537).

Burkinshaw et al. discloses a device that includes a first mounting part (20) defining a receiving channel (62) that has a longitudinal axis and the mounting part further defines at least one slot (22) therethrough at an angle oblique to the longitudinal axis of the receiving channel (82). The first mounting part defines two intersecting slots (22 and 24) in the region of the receiving channel each having an acute but different angles relative to the longitudinal axis of the receiving channel, where the second mounting part (12) receivable on the first mounting part (20) has at least one slot (64) arranged. The second mounting part also defines a receiving channel where the first and second mounting parts face each other when second mounting (12) part is received on first mounting part (12). Burkinshaw et al. also discloses that each first and second mounting pars include guide elements (18 and 60) positioning the parts relative to each other such that the slots and V-shaped receiving channels face each other and are

arranged congruently. With regard the statement of intended use and other functional statements, they do not impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Burkinshaw et al., which is capable of being used as claimed if one so desires to do so. *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Furthermore, the law of anticipation does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent teaches, but rather it is only necessary that the claims under attack "read on" something in the reference. Kalman v. Kimberly Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 781 (CCPA 1983). Furthermore, the manner in which a device is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16-18 and 20-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 23, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that Burkinshaw et al. fails to disclose a receiving channel "for receiving the bone pieces to be cut" and the slot is arranged "for guiding a saw blade introduced through said slot for cutting a bone piece received in

Art Unit: 3733

said receiving channel to a predetermined wedge shape usable for displacement osteotomy, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). Applicant is reminded that intended use and other functional statements, they do not impose any structural limitations on the claims.

In response to Applicant's argument that Burkinshaw et al. fails to show the slots are parallel to the V-shaped opening. Burkinshaw et al. shows the receiving channel and two slots at an angle acute/oblique angle in reference to the longitudinal axis. It appears that the Applicant wants the angle of the slot to be oblique in reference to the horizontal direction of the longitudinal axis as opposed to the vertical direction of the longitudinal axis as Burkinshaw et al. shows.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3733

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Araj whose telephone number is 571-272-5963. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ΜΙΔ

EDUÁRDO C/ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER